This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

| BLACK BORDERS
| IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
| FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
| BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
| SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
| COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
| GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
| LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
| REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
| OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/779,017	02/07/2001	Handong Wu	NETAP009	5629
7590 08/25/2004 SILICON VALLEY IP GROUP P.C.			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, QUANG N	
P.O. BOX 721120 SAN JOSE, CA 95172-1120			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ornivood, er	751,2 1120	-	2141	
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/779,017	WU, HANDONG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Quang N. Nguyen	2141				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr e, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 J	une 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12,14-18,20-23 and 25-30</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12,14-18,20-23 and 25-28</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-30</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 April 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rece	ived.				
Attachment(s)	A) [] [[[]	PT (PTO 442)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Lll Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	· —	al Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	6)					
	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 13				

are presented for examination.

Detail Action

This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed on 06/18/2004. Claims
 1, 16, 25 and 26 have been amended. Claims 13, 19 and 24 have been canceled.
 Claims 29-30 have been added as new claims. Claims 1-12, 14-18, 20-23 and 25-30

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-12, 14, 16-18, 21-23, 25-26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bandera et al. (US 6,332,127), herein after referred as Bandera, in view of Capek et al. (US 6,094,677), herein after referred as Capek, and further in view of Dodrill et al. (US 6,738,803), herein after referred as Dodrill.
- 4. As to claim 1, Bandera teaches a method for providing advertising to a mobile device, comprising:

receiving a request for content from the handheld computer (a Web server 24 receiving a Web page request from a mobile Web client 21) (Fig. 2, C7: L9-10);

associating an advertisement with the request for content (Web server generates the requested Web page with the selected advertising objects included there within);

sending the requested content to the handheld computer for display on the screen of the computer (the requested Web page is then served/transmitted to the mobile Web client) (Bandera, C7: L28-31); and

sending the associated advertisement to the handheld computer for playing over an audio output device of the handheld computer (the selected advertising objects within the requested Web page 26, which may include text files, audio files, video files, image files and the like, can be sent from the Web server 26 and played/displayed at the mobile Web client 21) (Bandera, C5: L22-26 and C7: L28-31).

However, Bandera does not explicitly teach the audible advertisement is played simultaneously with the display of the requested content on the computer and sending a visual warning when an audio channel is turned off.

In a related art, Capek teaches a system and method for providing advertisement insertions, wherein the insertion maybe data in virtually any suitable format (such as text, graphics, animation, motion video, sound, etc.) associated/customized to the user profile and/or requested program material (i.e., requested content) and the insertion maybe played simultaneously to the user with the display of the requested program material (Capek, C7: L48-65 and C12: L56-63).

Art Unit: 2141

In another related art, Dodrill teaches a proxy browser providing voice enabled web application audio control for mobile devices, wherein the proxy browser includes IP and PSTN network interface cards each are able to perform basic telephony functions, such as detect an on-hook or off-hook condition (i.e., detecting when the audio channel of handheld computer is turned off), detect incoming phone call or message, notify the corresponding user device accordingly by flashing an alert message (i.e., flashing/sending a visual/alert message when the audio is off) and send/receive audio signals (Dodrill, C11: L22-32).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Bandera, Capek and Dodrill to simultaneously play the audible advertisement with the display of the requested content and to send a visual warning when an audio channel of the handheld computer is turned off since such methods were conventionally employed in the art to provide information (providing user with advertisement insertions customized/associated with the user or the requested content) during relays in retrieving requested program material in order to improve the interactive experience of an interactive system such as the WWW (Capek, C4: L28-51); and to notify the user accordingly about the incoming message when the audio function of the device is turned off (e.g., flashing or vibrating the mobile phone to alert/warn user that there is an incoming call when the sound is turned off) so user can take appropriate action.

- 5. As to claim 3, Bandera-Capek-Dodrill teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the network is the Internet (the mobile Web client 21 is in communication with the Web server 24 via a computer network, such as the Internet 25 in Fig. 2) and receiving a request for content comprises receiving an HTTP request (Bandera, Fig. 2, C1: L18-22 and C45-59).
- 6. As to claim 4, Bandera-Capek-Dodrill teaches the method of claim 3, wherein associating an advertisement with a request for content comprises sending a link to an advertisement server along with the requested content (the advertising object 32 might contain a hypertext link 36 to an advertising server) (Bandera, C7: L56-67).
- 7. As to claim 5, Bandera-Capek-Dodrill teaches the method of claim 4, wherein the requested content is sent from a server hosting a Web site (i.e., the Web server 24 in Fig. 2).
- 8. As to claims 6-7, Bandera-Capek-Dodrill teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the request for content identifies the source as a handheld computer establishing a wireless connection with the network (based on the user location information obtained via GPS or via a telephone system included in an HTTP header part of the Web page request, the Web server can identify the source as a mobile device such as a PDA, a handheld computer, a "smart" mobile phone, etc., establishing a wireless connection with the network) (Bandera, C6: L56-67, C7: L1-16).

Art Unit: 2141

9. As to claim 8, Bandera-Capek-Dodrill teaches the method of claim 7, wherein

Page 6

sending the associated advertisement comprises sending the advertisement in-band

with the requested Web content (the Web server generates the requested Web page

with the selected advertising object included there within to send to the mobile Web

client) (Bandera, C7: L28-31).

10. As to claim 9, Bandera-Capek-Dodrill teaches the method of claim 7, wherein

sending the requested content and associated advertisement comprises utilizing a

wireless access protocol (since the mobile Web client communicates with the Web

server via a wireless/mobile network, hence, it inherently utilizes a wireless access

protocol to send request and receive response from the network).

11. As to claims 10-11, Bandera-Capek-Dodrill teaches the method of claim 1 further

comprising receiving information about the handheld computer requesting content and

associating an advertisement with the request for content comprises associating an

advertisement based on the information received about the handheld computer (i.e., the

Web server may select an advertising object based on the retrieved mobile Web client

location information and time of day the request was received) (Bandera, C7: L9-26).

12. As to claim 12, Bandera-Capek-Dodrill teaches the method of claim 1, wherein

associating an advertisement based on the content requested (Capek, C8: L31-52).

Art Unit: 2141

13. As to claim 14, Bandera-Capek-Dodrill teaches the method of claim 1, wherein

Page 7

sending the associated advertisement comprises sending the advertisement in an audio

format (the selected advertising objects within the requested Web page 26, which may

include text files, audio files, image/video files and the like, can be sent from the Web

server 26 and played/displayed at the mobile Web client 21) (Bandera, C5: L21-26).

14. Claims 16-18 and 21-23 are corresponding claims of method claims 1, 3, 5 and

9-12; therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.

15. Claim 25 is a corresponding computer program product claim of method claim 1;

therefore, it is rejected under the same rationale.

16. Claims 26 and 28 are corresponding system claims of method claims 1 and 5;

therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.

17. Claims 2, 15, 20 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Bandera-Capek-Dodrill, and further in view of Jong (US

6,173,250).

18. As to claims 2 and 15, Bandera-Capek-Dodrill teaches the method of claim 1, but does not explicitly teach that sending the associated advertisement comprises sending the advertisement in a text format that can be converted to speech.

In the related art, Jong teaches an apparatus and method for speech-text-transmit communication over data networks includes speech recognition devices and text to speech conversion devices that translate speech signals input to the terminal to text and text data received from a data network into speech output signals, wherein the receiving terminal receives text data (i.e., the advertisement in a text format) and may immediately display the text data and/or translate it into speech output signals using the text to speech conversion device (Jong, Abstract, Fig. 6, C5: L22-33 and C7:L61 – C8:L3).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Bandera-Capek-Dodrill and Jong to send the advertisement in a text format that can be converted to speech since such methods were conventionally employed in the art to allow the system to transmit the advertisement in a text format at a lower speed and therefore a lower bandwidth since text data packets representing speech are streaming at a lower data rate when compared with voice data packets.

19. Claims 20 and 27 are corresponding claims of method claims 2 and 15; therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.

Allowable Subject Matter

20. Claims 29-30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

- 21. In the remarks, applicant argued in substance that
- (A) Prior Art fails to teach "sending a visual warning when an audio channel of handheld computer is turned off."

As to point (A), **Dodrill** teaches a proxy browser providing voice enabled web application audio control for mobile devices, wherein the proxy browser includes IP and PSTN network interface cards each are able to perform basic telephony functions, such as detecting an on-hook or off-hook condition (i.e., detecting when the audio channel of handheld computer is turned off), detecting incoming phone call or message, notifying the corresponding user device accordingly by flashing an alert message (i.e., flashing/sending a visual/alert message when the audio is off) and send/receive audio signals (**Dodrill**, C11: L22-32). Hence, Prior Art does teach "sending a visual warning when an audio channel of handheld computer is turned off."

Art Unit: 2141

22. Applicant's arguments as well as request for reconsideration filed on 06/18/2004

have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

23. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

24. Further references of interest are cited on Form PTO-892, which is an

attachment to this office action.

Page 10

25. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Quang N. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703)

305-8190.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

SPE, Rupal Dharia, can be reached at (703) 305-4003. The fax phone number for the

organization is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-

3800/4700.

Quang N. Nguyen Examiner

Paul Kang

Primary Evaminer